

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1932
(V.P.)

1-1
File No. 43-32

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 3, 6

8, 9, 10, 13, 4
15, 16, 17, 19, 20
22, 23.

Letter References.

V.P. 50-37-1

C.B. 13-41-(154, 157, 158).

P 10 (H. 7)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Sixteen only

Part B for Nov 1935

SUBJECT.

Appropriation of the realisations of Va.
Bannan, & Betahahalan shams in the
Bangalore and to the concerned village
Panchayats

- 14.) G.O. no 2648-57 of 20/7/34. To Shri
and Bangalore, & Bangalore, Revenue
Commissioner. Direct that one-fourth of the
realisations from the above shams be
made over by the Govt to the respective
village panchayats

M
28/11

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

No.

0.17-0.18-57

Dated, Bangalore, 3rd Oct 1932

FROM

S.P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

A. Hanga Mac. Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General

The/Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY,
7 OCT. 1937
No. 2.5.7
SIR,

SIR,

I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District Officer reported in his letter No. 62.(a) 4997/31-32 dated 11th August 1932 (copy enclosed) that the Bangalore District Board have been at present taking all the shandy realisations of the Warthar Village and that it is desirable to assign in future, one-fourth of the net realisations to the Village Panchayet of the village, as it is the Village Panchayet which is responsible for the sanitation of the village and has to incur some expenditure on this account. It is further stated that the resources of this Village Panchayet are very limited and the little funds at its credit do not permit it to incur the expense involved and therefore it is desirable that this help is given as has been done in the case of Warthar Village Shandy, in Government Order No. 4999-1902/11-1902-1903 dated 20th/9th January 1932.

Deputy John J. Jones

ctioned by Government.

The enclosures are filed with the Security Committee's file.

Send for orders,

1) A copy of the letter was sent to the President, Mr. D., obtaining the opinion of the President in the matter - Drafting -

(...), beforher

R. I. D.

Narancek
Assistant Commissioner, 4/20/32
For Re *See*
Absent from the station.
1 Draft Subd
mb
5110
Please include in the draft
the other refer from the
A. and the other
the present
the

1604
4707

The draft is added to & put up,

18/10
9/10

1/11/10
20/10/10

Serial
No.

X

A

no. d 4361 dt 28.12.32 issued in the linked file
and, ^{he may be} asked to place the references from Govt
re Varnur, Chukkavanavar & Bellahallu Shandres
before the Dt Bd & forward their resolutions
thereon. Of course, the Board are not likely
to agree to the payment of a further ^{the}
collections to the Panchayats as proposed, but it
may perhaps be well to obtain their opinion before
passing orders in the matter.

18) If, however, another reference to the
Dt Bd is considered unnecessary, a draft of
sanctioning the proposal of the Rev Com in
the three cases will be sent.

19) For notes

II Member)

20/2

20) A. G. Can for resolution of Dt Bd before the
end of April

by
21/2

23/2/33
23/2

21)

Draft subd,

Sub Cb



27/2

22 Resoln

for

the post dt Bd B' line
L 5401 dt 1.3.33

In view of the reference to no. d 4361 dt 28.12.32
and calls for the resolution of the Bd on the Shandres before the end of April.



NOTE: Contn. Sheet

Assignment of a portion of shandy realizations
to certain Village Pancharets.

V.P. File No.

43

of 1932

1800
1850

General and Revenue
Secretariat

From the Rev Comm, No. C. 752/32-33 & 16.10.33
In reference to his three letters⁽²⁾ regarding
the appropriation of shandy realizations
between the V.O's of Vartur, Chikbanawar
Beltahalasur & request to be informed whether
any orders have been passed in the matter.

(2)
Hajji d, H d N.

From the Dy Comm, Bangalore Dist. 9
No. C₂ D.B. 60/33-34 & 21.10.33. Forwards
letter No. C. 10-75/32-33 & 1-9.33 from the
President, Bangalore D.D. enclosing a copy of the
resolution of the Bd with reference to S.D. in
regard to the assignment of $\frac{1}{4}$ of the net ~~share~~
realizations from ^{the 3} shandies to the V.O's. and states
that it is better to give a definite share of the shandy
realizations to the V.O's instead of leaving the
matter to the discretion of the D.D. as resolved
by them.

23. The Presd, Bangalore D.D., who was requested
to forward the resolution of the Bd in the matter
of assigning $\frac{1}{4}$ of the net realizations of the shandies
noted in the margins to the respective V.O., has sent
a copy of the resolution of the Bd to the effect that
the information regarding the works that the V.O's
want to take up may be obtained. In forward-
ing the resolution ^{the President} suggests that for reasons from
~~the fact~~ the V.O's with limited income may be
advised to approach the D.D. for substantial help
they require for works & facilities in lieu of appropri-
ment of shandy income. The Dy Comm is of

(2) Shandies at
Vartur, &
Chikbanawar
in Bangalore D.D.
& at Beltahalasur
in Devanahalli
7M.

3407/1/18401/1858

7

General and Revenue
Secretariat

V 0

File No.

1-3

01 1932

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
No.

Opinion that instead of leaving the matter to the discretion of the D.B.D as resolved by them, it is better to give a definite share of the share realization to the V.O's concerned

24. Memo for orders Refce. Pous 17 to 20 supra

25
26/10

25 The President's letter of 1.9.33 explains the view of the D.B.D in the matter. The D.C. is not for leaving the matter to the discretion of the D.B.D.

26 The principle of the D.B.Ds giving a share of the share realization to the village panchayats concerned has been accepted, & the memo. no 25341-58 of 6.2.29 and there can be no going back upon it. If one fourth is referred as a high proportion, all that can be done is perhaps to fix a lower proportion if that is deemed expedient

for order

Genl Secy

28/10

28 I agree with the D.C. who may be asked what proportion he would fix to be made over to the Panchayats in his 3 cases now under consideration

The D.B.D. (Secy)

29 14/11/32

9-11-32

14/11/32

30

GENERAL SECRETARY
28 OCT. 1935
No.

An

Office of the
Second Member of Council
10 NOV 1935
No. 2943

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Apportionment of proceeds from the realisations
of the Shandies of Varkur, Chikabavay and Bettahallu
Taluk. Bettahallu.

1858
L.

of 1932.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

V.P. File No. 43.

31 Draft sent for approval.

17.11.33 AM - 32 Issue

Am
21/11

To the D.C. Porewit, Pore

No L. 3740 of 24.11.33 requesting
to estimate the proportion he intends to
fix out of the realisation from Shandies to
the local V.Ps.

From the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dist.

No. 2 D.B. 60/33-34 of 1.3.34. In reply to
8.10, states that $\frac{1}{3}$ of the ~~realisations~~ realisations
may be made over to the respective Village
Panchayats to utilise for Sanitation.

33 Subm. No.

34 Reference is invited to No. 6555 of
D.B. 98-33-7 of 5.3.34, sanctioning the
Revenue Commr's proposal for making
over $\frac{1}{4}$ of Shandy realisations in Kadur
Dist to the respective Village Panchayats
unconditionally.

35 The opinion of the Dist Dd, Bangalore
regarding the proposal of the Dy Commr
to credit $\frac{1}{4}$ of the Shandy income to the
respective V.Ps. called for in the linked
file has not yet been received, which
will be
circulated if approved.

98
8/3 AM

36 The cases of Varkur, Chikabavay
and Bettahallu Panchayats - dealt with
in this file are also included in the

21 Nov 33

✓
Sd/- A.

Plg. S. in
linked file
D.B. 98-33-7

②
V.P. 43-32-12
G.

Appointments of Shandy realisations³ in Bangalore Dt.

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. 43

of 1932 11/11/32

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
No.

6) The principle of D.B.D. sharing Shandy fees with Village Panchayats in some proportion has been recognised by Govt and orders have been issued in G.O. no 25341-SD. V.B. 50-25-3 of 6-2-1929 that each case has to be dealt with on its merits.

7) In the case of the realisations from the Krishnarajapuram Shandy, the D.B.D., which was consulted in the matter, said that it was not possible to make over any portion of the collections to the Village Panchayat but Govt directed under Sec 45 (1) of the D.B.D. Regn. that 1/4 of the net collections be made over by the D.B.D. to the Krishnarajapuram Village Panchayat.

8) In this and the two linked files the Rev. Comr. has, on the suggestion of the Dy Comr., recommended 1/4 of the net realisations from the Shandies at Varthur & Banavar in Taluk and Belthahalli ^{Devanahalli Taluk} be made over by the D.B.D. to the respective Village Panchayats in view of the limited resources thereof.

9) Before orders are passed on the Rev. Comr.'s recommendations, the D.B.D. may be ^{perused} consulted in the matter as was done in the Krishnarajapuram case.

10) Draft to the President, D.B.D., for perusal accordingly for perusal before issue.

11) Secy (Devy)

Am 24/10

12) Issue Draft.

3 To The President, D.B.D. Bangalore, Dho. S.C.
L. 3128 of 5-11-32

Request to place the matter before the Bd. and forward its resolution in the matter for orders of Govt.

GENERAL SECRETARY
25 OCT.
No.

For Separately
in the file

SECTION
1 NOV. 1932
GENERAL & REV. SECT.

perused
for
attention
of
the
Bd.

For issue, perusal
moved for perusal

NOTE—Contn. 5

340
Varnam of ~~proceeds~~ of Shandy proceeds to
Kham K.P.s in Bangalore &

VP File No. 43

of 193 2

General and Revenue
Secretariat

From the Presdt, Dt Bd Bangalore

6

8/

No C10-75/32-3 & 20.1.33

8/

VP 26-19-32

7th a copy of the resolu^{& 30.7.32} passed by the
Bd requesting Govt not to enforce their order
directing the assignment to the D.P. of 1 of
the proceeds from Krishnarajapuram shandy,
and requests that the order in question
may not be extended to these Panchayats
in the light of the said resolu

13) The D.C. in forwarding the Presdt's commⁿ
states that "the claim of the V.P. to a share
is reasonable and cannot be refused."

14) The resolu. of the Dt Bd & 30.7.32 re
Krishnarajapuram shandy has been
forwarded down & the Bd told that the Govt
of 9.1.32 which was passed on careful consi-
deration of all aspects of the matter cannot
be reconsidered & should be given effect
to without further delay.

VP 43-32-5

15) Independently of the ~~question of the~~
question of Krishnarajapuram shandy, the
Commⁿ of Govt & 5.4.32 (sl 3) should,
it is subd, have been³² placed before the
Bd and a copy of their resolu. subd to Govt
(vide rule 2 of the rules issued with No. 1
& 5.2.27)

which the Presdt has apparently not seen.
M

16) Subd for orders

W.B. C.P.
10/2/33

17) The attention of the President
may be invited to the other letter

59

Serial
No.

V-043-32-12
Play B

2

reference ^(x) made to the President, DT Bd, in the
linked file. The opinion of the Bd. has ^{already} ~~been~~
been decided ^(x) regarding these cases. in this file it is not
necessary to refer again in this file.

37. The proposal of the Dy. Comr for ~~being~~
appearing one fourth of the net realisations from
the shandies at the said places ^{to the village panchayats} ~~has been~~
referred to the DT Bd, the President ^(x) stated that
the DT Bd is already contributing indirectly towards
the improvement works in the panchayats, ~~therefore~~
that if ~~in~~ in addition, $\frac{1}{4}$ of the shandy realisations
~~are~~ also to be given, the Board's income will suffer
enormously and that therefore instead of giving any
such appropriation, the panchayats with limited resources
may be advised to approach the DT Bd for any
help they require in carrying out improvement works.
The Dy. Comr, Bangalore, in forwarding the President's
letter, stated that it would be better to give a definite
share of the shandy realisations to the panchayats, instead
of leaving the matter to the DT Bd, and he has now
suggested that one third of the realisations may be made
over to the panchayats as they have to arrange for
sanitation etc.

38. When the DT Bd considers that the giving
away of $\frac{1}{4}$ of the shandy realisations ^{to the panchayats} would cripple its
own resources, the allotment of $\frac{1}{3}$ of the realisations
~~as proposed~~ will be felt as a greater hardship by the
DT Bd. In the case of Krishnarajpuram in this District
 $\frac{1}{4}$ of the net realisations has been ordered to be paid
to the Panchayat, and the same proportion has been
adopted in the Kodur Dt also as recommended by the

Play S

4143
I

File No.

of 193

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

Revenue Com^r, It seems therefore that the
Council's proposal to give ^{1/4} of the ^{net} realisations to
Panchayats maybe sanctioned

35 For aken

Dev. Secy)

15/3

Please for
repeal
Submission
for 15/3

66) The Council will King discuss this with
me. ~~Can~~ It is not advisable to have a
general rule laid down.

order
15/3

61) In the case under discussion 11/4 the
net realisations maybe given to the
Village Panchayats as recommended
by the R.C.

42) At the time the final question
was considered vide G.O. dt. Feb. 29, it
will be seen that Govt. the officers concerned
were for giving a definite share but
final orders were not passed as
some Dist. Boards did not agree.

Flage

43) Five years have passed
since then, and in a number of cases the
apportionment has been ordered. It is perhaps
no time to lay down a general rule
that 1/4 the net collection should be
given to the V.P. in whose area the
shady is held. By the R.C., D.C.
Dist. Boards maybe considered
once again if apt.

Secy. Member

2.5.34

affirming stand of proceeds - blitkharan to
 21143 ✓

General and Revenue
 Secretariat

File No. 43

of 193 ?

[App. F. III-2]

Serial
 No.

46) In the cases under ref^a in the
 Bre district, one-fourth of the net real-
 ize of shandy may be grⁿ to the VP's concern
 us) As regards the fixing
 of a specified share of the income to
 VP's in all cases, I think we may wait
 a some time longer. It has been done
 in Kadur & the B^{cc} DT-B^d have been
 asked to send up their views (vide
 linked file). In the case of other DTs no
 reply has been rec^d from the R. C.
 we may await further proposals from him
 Para 6 of G.O. of 29 Contemplan^d State
 that as far as each case may be
 decided on its merits, depending
 upon the fl^{ts} cond^s of the local bodies

for
 25/6
 MW.
 28/5

Draft please
 28/5

46)

Draft ruled,
 MB
 9/6 MW

47) Please amplify paras 2 & 3 of the
 preamble mentioning the opinion expressed
 by the Officer concerned.

48)

Draft amplified & put up,
 MB
 14/6 MW

- 49) for approval

DW Secy)

50) 29/6

14

S. B. Bangalore, D. C. R. C. Hoing

2648-518 28/2
 WD 0434-GPB-15,000-12/10/33



23/

51)

28/5

NOTE-Contn. Sheet.

income to the respective
 Direct the assignments of 1/6 of shandy
 B. B. 18



4143
2

3551

P.P. File No.

63

of 193 2

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

Letter No 62-4789/32-33 dated 1-2-35 from
the Deputy Commr. Bangalore Mr forwarding
copy letter No 62/34-35 dated 21/1/35 from
the President Mr Board Bangalore requesting
reconsideration of the over already passed,
and stating that the proposal of the Bd be
vetted by Govt. and the Comptroller requested to credit
the amounts due to the U.Ps in case the Bd
does not give effect to the order of Govt before the
end of Feb 35

52) The Bd. Board have resolved that, in case
the Govt are not prepared to reconsider their
order, the Board will be forced to discontinue
making of the contributions that are being given
to the several village Panchajats for village
contributions to village huts, wells etc.

53) The Bd. Board have also objected
to the ~~top~~ of abolitionment of the temporary
contributions to the U.Ps. and that file is
separately sent for orders. The D.C. Bangalore Mr
communicated that the proposal of the
Board may be vetted by Govt and the Comptroller
authorised to credit the amounts due to
the U.Ps in case the Bd Board does not give
effect to the Govt order before the end of Feb 35

54) dates for orders

18/1/35
17/1/35

56) The files relating to Krishnappa
Kannan Shetty and the Shantis in Bangalore
Bd in general are linked herewith for general

55)

The file relating to
Krishnappa and Shantis
is a which is
with the Bd. Board
for reference of the
an order is
for the Bd. Board

Serial
No.

No replies have yet been received from the D.A. Bangalore, in spite of reminders. In the case of Krishnarajapuram, the D.A. was informed that if action was not taken before the end of October, G.O. will have to enforce payment under Sec 96 of the D.A. Regulation. In the case of the Shaniur in general the opinion of the Board was called for.

57) Submitted for order

11/3/35

59) The President may be informed that Govt see no reason to ^{reconsider} modify the order already passed and that if the order is not given effect to by making the payment due to the village panchayats in question before the end of April 1935, Govt will take action to enforce the payment under Sec 96(3) of the D.A. Regn.

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

13 MAR 1935

No. 5720

60) Secy. Secy

61) J/P

63)

Draft subs for order

18/3/35

64)

Letter No 6464 dated 20/3/35 to the President of Board Bangalore stating that Govt see no reason

18. MAR. 1935

3557/4989

V.P. File No.

43

of 1932

33 General and Revenue Secretariat

to reconsider their orders and that the amounts are not paid to the V.P.s before the end of April 35 action has to be taken under Sec 96 of the P.T. & R. Regulation and requesting arrangement of the payment Memo No. L 6465 dated 20/7/35 to the Rev. Sec. forwarding copy of the order for information

✓
Smt A

Letter No CR. 62/34-35 dated 4/7/35 from 17 the Board. Board. Bangalore stating that, as the order dated 24/7/34 it is not possible to make any payment, before the end of April 1935, of the net realisation which can be ascertained only after the close of the current financial year. and that arrangements will be made to pay up the amounts due, after ascertaining the net realisation from each of the branches at the close of the current year.

the
21/7

65 Subd for order with refer to Payers 59-61

above
to
21/7/35

Dev. Secy)

M.
23/5

66 The budget may be examined with reference to this

67 Provision has been made for the payment of these amounts in the budget estimates for 1935-36

from
27/8/35

3551V 4989V 1204

43
 File No. ~~2029~~ of 193 ~~2~~ 32

App. F. III-2

19. Letter no. C 815/35-36 dated 10.9.35 from the D.C. Bangalore Dt forwarding letter no. C.R. 62/33-34 dated 2.8.35 from the President of Board B'lore forwarding the res'n of the Board ~~that~~ ~~as~~ ~~dis~~ ~~agree~~ ~~ing~~ with the views of Govt ~~and~~ ~~the~~ ~~reference~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~ ~~letter~~ ~~pointing~~ ~~out~~ ~~the~~ ~~inadvisability~~ ~~of~~ ~~affording~~ ~~the~~ ~~shanty~~ ~~realisation~~ ~~and~~ ~~requesting~~ ~~orders~~ ~~of~~ ~~Govt.~~ ~~The~~ ~~letter~~ ~~no.~~ ~~C.R.~~ ~~1298/34-35~~ ~~dated~~ ~~3.8.35~~ ~~is~~ ~~linked.~~

19. (in the linked file)

1. The Deputy Commr suggests that the Comptroller be instructed to verify the quota & credit the ~~quota~~ ^{same} to V.P.S. by debiting ~~to~~ ^{the quota} the D.C.

2. Provision has been made in the budget for the payment of the quota to V.P.S.

3. Sd/- for orders: Mr. P. M. Ingole
 13.9.35 M/17/9 That the order passed in the case of the above 3 shanties may be ordered to be held in abeyance.

4. Mr. P. M. Ingole in his letter no. C.R. 62/34-35 the President reported that provision had been made in the Budget granted for 1935-36 and that arrangements would be made for making the payment to the Panchayats early this year. His present reference raises the general question of the advisability of apportioning shanty income to the Panchayats.

17

1204

File No.

43

of 1932-33

General and Revenue
Secretariat

and suggest that the order passed in the case
of the three Village Panchayats in Gushar mabe
held in absence. The arguments advanced by the
DMD for not giving any share to the Panchayats are
fact. note in the enclosed file.

K.

73. As regards the apportionment of the
income of the Mandies, Varnur, Chikbanavar and
Bellahadron, Govt have already held that the
order passed cannot be reconsidered.

L

74. If the Dy. Comr. makes attention to the
objectionable tone of the DMD's resolutions & reports
that the Comptroller mabe instructed to credit
the amount due to the Village Panchayats to the
DMD Board. This is for adv. if the Comptroller
mabe written to accordingly or whether the DMD
mabe given another opportunity to make the payment,
in which provision has been made in the DMD's budget.
This is approved, a further time mabe allowed
or making the payment.

~~For Govt for Order~~

For adv.

(Duty Secy)

18/9

75. The Comptroller mabe reports make
The necessary adjustments
intending

attached to
23
18.9.35

76

18/9

1732
18/9/35

85

18/9

Please find enclosed

25/9

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.
24 SEPT. 1935
NO 1934

Appropriation of Shandy Proceeds in Bangalore

1204/1/1957
[App-F. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No. V.P. 43 of 1932

Serial
No.

1 Draft subtd for appn

leg
25.9.38

Derby - Am -
29/9

71 MW 1.10.
Issue Am 1/10

1967
30.9
1 OCT 1935
FNL SECT.

B
leg
17.10.38

2 Letter No. L 2609 dated 14.10.38 to
the Comptroller requesting to verify the
quota payable to the VPS & credit the
same to the respective VPS by debiting the
amount to the ST fund

Copies to C.D.C. B'lore

(a) Rev Comm

3) Pdt DRS, B'lore

Letter No. CR. 62/34.38 dated 25.10.38

from the Pdt DRS B'lore, stating that
cheques have been issued to the VPS
for the yr 34-38 with effect from 1.8.38 &
requests that the Comptroller may be
asked to stop further proceedings in the matter

1 Subtd with a draft to the Comptroller
for consideration

leg
2.11.38

12 DS no amount due for the
period before 1.8.34?

3 Where is the 2.09.38/7/34

The correspondence leading to it. The papers
in the file are disarranged & some papers seem to
have been removed. Please make the file complete
& renumber with the correspondence properly arranged.

37/11

Appertinent 7 should
proceed in Nov 1950

File No.

43 1950

of 1932-33

General and Revenue
Secretariat

14 All the ~~ref~~ papers relating to the
Case have been searched out & put in
order. (They had been misplaced in file No.
V.P. 43-32-8611 etc.)

The G.O. dated 28-7-34 does not
Specify ~~the~~ the date from which the order should
take effect; perhaps it implies that the
order should take effect from the date
of issue of the order i.e. 28-7-34 or 1-8-34
as the President ~~has stated~~ ~~approximate~~ for the
purpose of calculation.

flag u.

Draft is subd for appl.

11.11.35

~~For appl or order~~

The D.D. have

endeavour taken the G.O. of 28-7-34
as having effect from 28/7/34. Though
the proposal to create a ~~part~~ ~~of the~~ ~~hand~~
realisation to the V.P. was made by Mr. Rowland
as far back as Oct 1932, final order was
passed only in July 1934. This perhaps not
the intention to give retrospective effect
to the said.


The draft to the Comptroller
is for appl or order. (The President's order does not
say what the amount is for which cheques have been made)

Dev. Secy.

12/11

14.11

14/11



Appointments of ~~Shah~~ proceed in
V.P. 1950
Bainglue Sh
L 43
File No. of 1932-33. [App. F. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No.

9.

The Comptroller to Govt

No L 3689 dated 19.11.35

requesting not to take action as desired
in the officer letter ~~dated~~ dated 14.10.35

B
les
22.11.35
14/11

11


Letter No.C2.(a) 4997/31-32 dated 11-8-1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

In submitting herewith the entire file of records received with the Bangalore Sub Division Officer's report No.B2. 989/31-32 dated 29th June 1932, regarding the apportionment of Shandy collections of Varthur in the Bangalore Taluk, I have the honour to state that the site where the Shandy held ~~is~~ belongs to the District Board, that the site and village get dirties on Shandy days. The duty of keeping the Village clean vests on the Village Panchayet and further it is only the Village Panchayet that can keep the place clean. The Village Panchayet is therefore entitled to have some financial help from out of the Shandy realisations for the expense incurred by them. The resources of this Panchayet are very limited and the little funds at its credit do not permit it to incur this expense.

In the case of Krishnarajapur Shandy Government were, in their order No.L.4899-4902/V.P.26-29-32 dated 8/9th January 1932, pleased to direct that one-fourth of the net collections be made over by the District Board to the Village Panchayet. A copy of the said G.O. is enclosed in the file for reference.

I recommend that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to assign similarly one-fourth of the Shandy net realisations of Varthur village to the Panchayet for the improvement of the village.

C o p y.



For Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.

V.P. 43-32-2

GEN. & REV. SECTY
1707
4 OCT 1932

No.

C 978/32-33

one done

REVENUE
1707

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 11th October 1932.

11 OCT 1932

FROM

S.P. Rajagopalachari. Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

05428

To

R. Ranga Rao. Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General

Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY
13 OCT 1932
No. 2-3-9

SIR,

14/10

ms
14/10

I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District has proposed in his letter No.C2.(a) 647/32-33 dated 25th/29th August 1932 (copy enclosed) that one fourth of the net collections from the shandy of Chickbanavara village in the Bangalore Taluk may be apportioned to the Village Panchayet of the place, the rest being taken by the District Board, as the Shandy site and the Village gets dirtied on the shandy days and the Village Panchayet has to attend to the sanitation and conservancy of the village and is put to extra expenditure on this account. He mentions also that the resources of this Village Panchayet are very limited and the little funds at its credit do not permit it to incur this additional expense and the Village Panchayet is therefore entitled to have some financial help from out of the Shandy realisations for the expense incurred by it, as has been sanctioned already in the case of Krishnarajapur Village Panchayet (Vide Government Order No.L.4899-4902 V.P.26-29-32 dated 8/9th January 1932). I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and recommend that one-fourth of the net collections of the Shandy may be made over to the Chickbanavara Village Panchayet, for the purpose.

The enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter accompany for reference.

subd. A similar recommendation from the R.C. in re Warthun shandy is proposed to be sent to the D.D. for opinion. This will, if appd, be also sent along with this.

ms
17/10

Please print
under the
the other
is checked
17/10

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. M. M.

Assistant Commissioner,
for Revenue Commissioner,
Absent from the station.

MS
17/10
BB

13

Letter No.C2.(a)-647/32-33 dated 25/29th August 1932 from the
the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Revenue Commis-
sioner in the Mysore.

In forwarding herewith the entire file of records received with
the Bangalore Sub Division Officer's report No.B2. 1184/31-32 dated
30-7-1932, regarding the apportionment of Shandy collections of
Chikkabanavara, in the Bangalore Taluk, I have the honour to state
that the Village and the site, where the Shandy is held get dirtied
on Shandy days. The weekly shandy brings in all kinds of persons
every week and also some bring infection with it. The duty of keep-
in the village clean rests on the Village Panchayet and further it
is only the Village Panchayet that can keep the place clean. The
Village Panchayet is therefore entitled to have some financial help
from out of the Shandy realisations for the expenses incurred by it.
The resources of this Panchayet are very limited and the little funds
at its credit do not permit it to incur this expense.

In the case of Krishnarajapura Shandy Bangalore Taluk, Government ~~a~~
were pleased, in their order No.L. 4899-4902/V.P.26-29-32 dated 8/9th
January 1932 to direct that one fourth of the net collections be
made over by the District Board to the Village Panchayet. I ~~recomm~~
recommend that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to
assign similarly one fourth of the Shandy net realisations of
Chickkabanavara village to the Panchayet for the improvement of the
village.

C-o p y.

M. S. Srinivasan
For Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.

1302

No. C. 1336/32-33

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,


Dated, Bangalore, 17th October 1932.

GEN. 1822
20 OCT 1932

L & C BRANCH

FROM

S.P. Rajagopalachari Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.



To

R. Ranga Rao. Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY
19 OCT. 1932
No. L.S.-8

I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District has, in his letter No. C2. a 820/32-33 dated 19/20th September 1932, (copy enclosed), recommended that one fourth of the net realisations from the Shandy held at Bettahalsoor, Devanahalli Taluk, may be assigned to the local Village Panchayet as it is the Village Panchayet that is responsible for the sanitation of the village and has to incur some expenditure therefor. It is further stated that by holding the Shandy the Village gets more dirty on the Shandy days and the Village Panchayet has to incur additional expenditure for sanitation, on this account. As the resources of the Village Panchayet are stated to be very limited it is considered desirable to apportion the net realisations from the Shandy between the District Board and the Village Panchayet, as has been done in the case of Krishnarajapuram Shandy, in Government Order No. L. 4899-4902 V.P. 26-29-32 dated 8/9th January 1932.

I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and recommend that his proposal may be sanctioned by Government.

The enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter accompany for reference and return.

Subd for orders.

Two similar letters from the R.C. to Varthur
chikbanavar shandis are being sent to the
D.D. for decision.

M.B.
20/10

This is also included
in the draft in the letter to
the R.C.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

R. Ranga Rao

Assistant Commissioner
For Revenue Commissioner
Absent from the station.

re

15

Letter No.C2. (a) 830/32-33 dated 19/20th September 1932, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

In forwarding herewith the correspondence ending with the Dodballapur Sub Division Officer's report No.A.3. 370/32-33 dated 5th September 1932, regarding the apportionment of Shandy collections of Bettahalasoor village in the Devanahalli taluk, I have the honour to state that the village and the site where the Shandy is held get dirtied on Shandy days. The duty of keeping the Village clean rests on the Village Panchayet which is therefore entitled to have some financial help from out of the Shandy realisations for the expenses incurred by it for village sanitation. The resources of this Panchayet are ascertained to be limited and the little funds at its credit do not permit it to incur this expenditure.

In the case of Krishnarajapur Shandy, Bangalore Taluk, Government were pleased in their Order No.L.4899-4902/V.P.26-29-32 dated 8/9th January 1932 to direct that one fourth of the nett collections be made over by the District Board to the Village Panchayet.



I recommend that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to assign similarly one fourth of the Shandy net realisations of Bettahalasur village to the Panchayet for the improvement of the village.

C O P Y.


For Revenue Commissioner.

G.R

14



ಹೊಂ ಗಣಪತಿ ವಾಣಿಜ್ಯ ಮಂಡಳಿ
 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು
 20/11/44

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್
 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್
 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್
 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್
 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್
 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್
 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್
 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್

Write
 Sub
 M.K.

18/5/32
 18/5/32

16. ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್
 ಕಾರ್ಪೊರೇಷನ್

Sub-Division Officer, Bangalore Sub-Division
 1584

31.32. Extract from
 the Resolution of the Council

1973-1974

Section to a person of the

showing markings of children

the two child-marks are in

is known to be a person of the

and order. This is a big village with

very little. He is a big village with

the person of the two child



Subscribed to the Dr. Comm 17/8

The Department on two only
to be used for improvements

that are to be effected. There are no
Funds The weekly Shandy brings in all
kinds of persons every week and also
infection with it. It is necessary
that the District Board surrenders some
portion of the Shandy Income for
providing Sanitary facilities to the
villages -

30/7/32 Muttulthubla
8200

V6389
21.32

Refce: - Bangalore District
Board President's ~~letter~~
No DTP. 2156
31.32

Submitted to the Sub-Division Officer
Bangalore Sub-Division

Letter cited above received from the President, Bangalore District Board is herewith submitted in favour of kind perusal. Vath Village Panchayat has very little resources at its credit and the Village being a fairly big one, the little fund at its credit does not permit it to carry on improvement works. Unless some substantial help is received from either District Board or Government, it would be very difficult to balance its finances. Very recently, the subject of electrification of the Village has been sanctioned and the levy for an additional tax to meet the lighting charges has been proposed. Even with this levy, there would be very little funds left to meet other improvement charges as it cannot be expected that every Pile of the annual demand would be

202-979
31.32

83508

32 August

Bring up
Vath

10/5/32

Collected from the smaller.

On a similar reference made to District Board to apportion a fourth part of the realisations of Krishnagarapur Shandy for the improvements of Krishnagarapur^{village}, the District Board did not agree and the Government, considering the poor condition of the Pancharat, ordered on 8/9th Jan 1932 in their proceedings No. 2. 4899-4902/18. 26-29-32 that ~~a~~ one fourth of the realisations should be given to the P.S. thereby overruling the resolution of the District Board. In this case too, similar action seems desirable.

Under the circumstances, I beg to submit that the Deputy Commissioner be kindly requested to move Government in the matter and arrange to have a fourth portion of the Shandy realisations at Varathoor sanctioned for the Varathoor ^{for its improvements etc} be left to remain


Sir,

Yours Most Obedient Servant

[Signature]

Amuldas

Bengalore Taluk



Ref:- Bangalore taluk amount
no. V.P. 389/31.32 dt 10th May 32

W

P.R. 604
21-32 P.R. 979

EG memo



7.6.32

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District in Continuation
of this office no P.R. 585/31.32 dated
11 Decr 1931. ~~The resolution of the~~
~~It seems desirable to~~
~~uncommenced to go to the resolution of the~~
~~Over-tenure~~ ~~to great~~
District Board, and ~~one fourth~~
of the ~~Shandy~~ ~~realization~~
~~be made over to the panchayats~~

M. K. Subbubh
Sub Division Officer
Bangalore Sub Dn

24/5/32
no. C 2(a) 1997
21.32

memo

A Copy of G.O. no. L. 4899-4902 Vr
26.29.32 dated 8/5. January 1932 may
be obtained and submitted for
reference

6344
10/6

8.6.32

S. K. S.
To Dy. Commr
8/6/32

12/1

39/6/32

Sub Division Office
Barrackpore



Mukherjee

8.6.32

Reference to his memo no. 222/479

Commissioner Barrackpore with
Sd/- to the Secy

22/6/32
222-479
31.02.32
22-479



A copy of the report submitted

1.3.32
1.3.32
1.3.32

15/6/32
15/6/32
15/6/32

12/6/32

800

Mukherjee

Forwarded to the Commissioner
Tahsil for submission
of a copy of govt. order

31.02.32
22-479
22-479

21

proceedings of the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Representation from the people of
Krishnarajapuram made in July 1929 to the
Sewan during his tour, that in view of the very
slender finances of the panchayat, the collection
of the local shendry might be made over to the
panchayat to enable it to carry out improve-
ment works.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No
L. Dis. C 19-45/30-31, dated 24th November 1930,
from the President, District Board, Bangalore
stating among other things that it is not
possible to pay the collections of the local
shendry to the village panchayat of Krishna-
rajapuram.

Order No. L-4899-4902/V.P. 26-29-32

Bangalore, dated the 8/9th January


The principle of sharing the shendry fee
in same proportion between the district boards

and village panchayets has been recognised by Government and orders have been passed issued in the matter in their order No. L. 5341-58/V.P. 50-27-3 dated 6th February 1929 and each case has accordingly to be dealt with on its merits. Government consider that Krishnarajapuram is an important road-side village and the village panchayat have no funds for their ordinary work and therefore direct under Section 45(1) of the District Boards Regulation that one fourth of the net collections be made over by the District Board to the village panchayat of Krishnarajapuram

Sd/- A. Subba Rao,

For General Secretary to Government.

"copy"



Anil Kumar

Bangalore Town

24/6/32

1260 36
5/11/33

ದೊಂತ್ರಿ ಲಘು ಪ್ರಕೃತಿ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ದ್ರಾವಿಡ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

A3-370/32-33

ಕೃಷಿ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ಕಂಠಾಂತರ ಮಾತೃಕಾ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ನ ಕಂಠಾಂತರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ ಸಂವತ್ಸರ

Rs. 1100
3/1/33

Rs. 1100
3/1/33

Rs. 1100
3/1/33

Rs. 1100
3/1/33

~~Handwritten text at the top of the page, mostly illegible.~~

A3-370-
32-33
en (1)

M. M. M. M. M.
Chaudhary

22/8/32

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner
The President, District Board, may be kindly
addressed in the matter. It is ~~the~~ for
that the Panchayat should be given a notice
& the receipts for the shandy collection

for shandy

S. D. O.

8/9/32

04951

Sh

Boys



P.R.

124

32.33

D's order -

make a recommendation

to the Panch.

MD

8.9.32

MD
9.9.32

UP

SUBJECT

Section *UP*
File No. *43-32*
Serial No. *3*

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. *L-3128*

To *Encl: 1.*
The Presdt, Dist, Bangalore Hno do

General and Revenue Secretariat

(
the *x No. C-752/32-33 dt 5-10-32*
.. C-978/32-33 dt 11-10-32
.. C-1336/32-33 dt 15-10-32

Date of issue *5-11-32*

Signed by *2 copies*
M. N. A. Subb-a-Ra

and at Bettahallu
in Devanahalli Taluk

Sir,
I am directed to forward herewith
copies (with enclosure) noted in the margin
Copy of letters ~~No. C-752/32-33 dt 5-10-32~~
(~~C-978/32-33 dt 11-10-32~~)
from the R.C. in Mysore recommending
the assignment of ^{net- in} 1/2 of the realised
achikbanavata in the Bangalore Taluk
from the Chaudigat Varkur to
the local Village Panchayats and to
request you to place the matter
before the Dist forward its order
in the matter for the orders of the
Tharav

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

for Gen Secy
MB

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 3rd November 1932..

No. L. 3128

V.P. 43-32-3.

Encl: 1

Sta

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The President,

District Board, Bangalore.

Sir, Through the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

I am directed to forward herewith copies of letters (with
x.No.C.752/32-33 dated 5th October 1932. enclosures) noted in the
" C.978/32-33 dated 11th October 1932.
" C.1336/32-33 dated 19th October 1932. margin from the Revenue
Commissioner in Mysore recommending the assignment of $\frac{1}{4}$ of the net
realisations from the shandies at Varthur and Chiockbanavara in the
Bangalore Taluk and at Bettahalasur in Devanahalli Taluk to the
Local Village Panchayets and to request you to place the matter
before the Board and forward its resolution in the matter for the
orders of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Asubvarao

For General Secretary to Government.

mb

96

Letter No.752/32-33 dated 5th October 1932 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore to the General Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District has reported in his letter No.C2.(a) 4997/31-32 dated 11th August 1932 (copy enclosed) that the Bangalore District Board have been at present taking all the shandy realisations of the Varthur Village and that it is desirable to assign in future, one-fourth of the net realisations to the Village Panchayat of the village, as it is the Village Panchayat which is responsible for the sanitation of the village and has to incur some expenditure on this account. It is further stated that the resources of this Village Panchayat are very limited and the little funds at its credit do not permit it to incur the expense involved and therefore it is desirable that this help is given as has been done in the case of Krishnarajapur Shandy. in Government Order No.L.4899-4902/V.P.26-29-32 dated 8th/9th January 1932.

I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and recommend that this proposal may be sanctioned by Government.

Letter No.978/32-33 dated 11th October 1932 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore to the General Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District has proposed in his letter NOC.2.(a) 647/32-33 dated 25th/29th August 1932 (copy enclosed) that one fourth of the net collections from the shandy of Chickbanavara village in the Bangalore Taluk may be apportioned to the Village Panchayat of the place, the rest being taken by the District Board, as the Shandy site and the Village gets dirtied on the shandy days and the Village Panchayat has to attend to the sanitation and conservancy of the village and is put to extra expenditure on this account. He mentions also that the resources of this Village Panchayat are very limited and the little funds at its credit do not permit it to

to incur this additional expense and the Village Panchayet is therefore, entitled to have some financial help from out of the Shandy realisations for the expense incurred by it, as has been sanctioned already in the case of Krishnarajapur Village Panchayet (Vide Government Order No.L. 4899-4902 V.P.26-29-32 dated 8/9th January 1932). I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and recommend that one-fourth of the net collections of the Shandy may be made over to the Chickbanavara Village Panchayet, for the purpose.

The enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter accompany for reference.

Letter No.C.1336/32-33 dated 17th October 1932 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore to the General Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District has, in his letter No.C2.a 820/32-33 dated 19/20th September 1932, (copy enclosed), recommended that one-fourth of the net realisations from the Shandy held at Bettahalasur, Devanahalli Taluk, may be assigned to the local Village Panchayet as it is the Village Panchayet that is responsible for the sanitation of the village and has to incur some -- expenditure therefor. It is further stated that by holding the Shandy the Village gets more dirty on the Shandy days and the Village Panchayet has to incur additional expenditure for sanitation, on this account. As the resources of the Village Panchayet are stated to very limited it is considered desirable to apportion the net realisations from the Shandy between the District Board and the Village Panchayet, as has been done in the case of Krishnarajapuram Shandy, in Government Order No.L.4899-4902 V.P.26-29-32 dated 8/9th January 1932.

I agree with the Deputy Commissioner and recommend that his proposal may be sanctioned by Government.

The enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter accompany for reference and return.

" C O P Y "

Asuava Rao
For General Secretary to Government.

WLB

my mth

GEN & R. SECT
3467
4 FEB 1933

V. V. 23-52-6
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD.

No. C. 10-75/32-33.


Bangalore 10th January 1933.
20

L. S. Q. BRANCH.

From

V. VENKATAPPA, Esq.,

PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD



The General Secretary to
The Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE, City.
=====

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

Through:-

Forwarded with compliments, SIR,

The claim of the V.P. is
a share is reasonable
and will be referred

With reference to your letter No. L. 3128/V. P. 42-32-
dated 5th November 1932, regarding the payment of one fourth

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

of shandy collections of Varthur, Chickbanavar, and Bettahalsur
shandies to the respective local Village Panchayets, I have
the honour to state that the Board have, already in connection
with a similar question in the case of Krishnarajapur shandy,
passed a general resolution to the effect that in-as-much as
the income from shandies is one of the chief sources of the
Board and as this concession is sure to be treated as a
precedent by other Village Panchayets, thereby rendering the
income lessened and the administration crippled, the Board
respectfully represent and pray that the decision of the
Government may not be enforced.

A copy of the resolution is also herein enclosed for
favour of information. I may point out now for the informa-
tion of Government that these claims are the instances
contemplated by the Board in their resolution referred to
above, as the result of the Government Order of the 8/9th
January 1932 directing the payment of one fourth of the
net collections to the Village Panchayet of Krishnarajapur
from the shandy at the place, and would therefore request

contd.,

0612/257/6777/212

Cr 15831

No C₂(a) 3110/32-33
N. Des. Encl. 1.

GENERAL SECRETARY
3-FEB. 1933
No. S.S. 9

(2)

request that the order of Government in question may not be extended to these Panchayets in the light of the Board's resolution enclosed.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Venkatappa

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

M
19/1

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIRST ORDINARY MEETING OF THE BANGALORE
DISTRICT BOARD, HELD AT REVANASIDDESWARASWAMY HILL
ON SATURDAY THE 30TH JULY 1932.
=====

22. Government Order No. L. 4899-4902/V. P. 26-28-32 dated 8/9th
January 1932 regarding the payment of one fourth of Shandy Collec-
tions of Krishnarajapuram shandy.

RESOLUTION:- Resolved that as the income from Shandies is
one of the chief sources of the Board and ~~as this concession is sure~~
to be treated as a precedent by other Village Panchayets and thereby
the income is likely to be lessened and the administration crippled,
the Board respectfully represent and pray that the decision of the
Government may not be enforced.

Sd/- V. Venkatappa,

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

"C o p y"

V. Venkatappa

P r e s i d e n t,

M District Board.

ms 9715

Pet. No. 1536

Vp H 3-32-99

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, Dated, 9 2 1933

GENERAL SECRETARY
107
No. 4.5.9

REV. SECT.
GEN. 352
13 FEB. 1933
L.B. G. BRINTON

384

The accompanying petition addressed to the Dewan is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government, General Department, for disposal.

24/07/33 13/2
Sd/- A recommendation was received here from the P.C. that 1/4 of the proceeds from the Varthur (among others) should be ordered to be given to the P.C. and be considered separately (3407 A/S 13/2)
By Order,
[Signature]
Private Secretary to the Dewan.

It appears therefore unnecessary to send this to the P.C.

It will be placed in the main file, if appd.

Yes for [Signature]

10/2/33

To

The Dewan
In Mysore
Bangalore.

30
OFFICE OF THE DEAN
OF L.V. E.

9.2.33
1536

Sir,

The weekly shandy at Varthur is being held within the village site i.e., the Pachanyet limits.

The Village Panchayet was formed in 1919, and as per regulation No. VI (Local boards and village panchayets) the shandy was under its management.

As funds were needed for the improvement of the village and the shandy site etc., the panchayet passed a resolution for levying a tax over the shops etc., in the shandy, and the resolution was submitted to the President, Taluq Board, Bangalore for kind approval.

In obedience with the memo No.541 dated 25-11-19 from the President, Taluq Board, the Panchayet passed a resolution fixing the rates of taxes, and submitted the same to the President, Taluq Board for favour of approval.

But the right of collecting taxes from shops etc., was auctioned, and the proceeds were allowed to be utilised by the Panchayet for village improvement works.

After the abolition of the Taluq boards, the Village Panchayets are under the supervision of the Revenue Department. The Shandy tax-proceeds are being taken away, by the District Board, which has not spent even a single pie for the improvement of either the village or the weekly shandy.

We venture to submit that our village i.e., Varthur, is undergoing great improvements and it has been electrified.

Improvements in sanitation, road repairs and supply of drinking water are greatly needed.

31

As the resources of the Panchayet ~~are~~ meagre, optional taxes are also being raised, yet it has become quite difficult to make both ends meet, as the present income of the Village Panchayet is about Rs 600/-, whereas a ^{recurring} ~~requiring~~ annual expenditure of Rs 1000/- is quite essential.

Thus, we the humble villagers beg leave to submit, that the income from the Shandy may kindly be ordered to be allowed for the improvement of the Village, and the entire amount given away to the Panchayet funds.

For which act of kindness and generosity, we feel in duty bound to pray for your long life and prosperity.

We beg to remain

Sir,

Varthur
dated 6-2-51

Your most obedient servants,

Bhulabhai Rao.

K. S. S. S.

S. S. S. S. S.

H. S. S. S. S.

V. S. S. S. S.

Mangowami Sanyal

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

Handwritten signature or name at the top of the page.

As the resources of the Landowners were meagre,
optional taxes and other levies raised, and it was found that the
districts of the Landowners were not, as the present income
of the Village Landowners is about 1000/-, whereas a
regular annual expenditure of 1500/- is quite essential.
Thus, we the people of the Village have to
submit that the income from the Landowners is
orders to be allowed for the improvement of the Village,
and to apply a grant given away to the Landowners.
For which act of kindness and generosity, we
feel it duty bound to pay for your love and
gratitude.

"to be so remain"
Sir
Your most obedient servant,

L. S-701

32/2/33

28th February 1933

1.3.

The

The President, District Board, Bangalore.

THROUGH THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, BANGALORE DISTRICT.

With reference to your letter No. C.10-75/32-33, dated -- 20th January 1933, forwarding in reply to this office letter dated 5th November 1932 (re. the recommendation for the assignment of one fourth of the net realisation from the Varthur, Chikbanavar and Bettahalsur shandies to the local Village Panchayets) copy of the Board's resolution dated 30th July 1932 requesting that the order directing the assignment of a fourth of the proceeds from the -- Krishnarajapuram shandy to the Village Panchayet may not be -- enforced and requesting that the said ~~order~~ order be not extended to the other Panchayets etc., I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No. L. 4361/V.P. 43-32-5, dated 28th December 1932, vetoing the resolution of the Board dated 30th July 1932, and to request you to place before the Board the reference from Government re. the three shandies referred to above and forward their resolution on them before the end of April 1933.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

AND
For General Secretary to Government
W.B.

SUBJECT _____

33

Section VP

File No. 43-32

Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. L-5701

To

The President, D.B., Bangalore, Mithu
Dc.

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 .

Date of issue _____

11/3/33

Signed by _____

Mr. A. S. Rao.

List of enclosures _____

Copied by _____

Examined by _____

28/2/33 x

Sir,

with refce to your letter no C10-75/32-3 & 20.1.33 forwarding, in reply to this office letter & 5.11.32 (re the recommendation for the assignment of $\frac{1}{4}$ of the net realisation from the Varthur, Chikbanavar & Bettahalsur shaudies to the local village Panchayats) copy of the Bd's Resoln & 30.7.32 requesting that ^{the} order directing the assignment of a fourth of the proceeds from the Brishnalyang shaudy to the Village Panchayat may not be enforced, and requesting that the said order be not extended to the other Panchayats & I am directed to invite refce to this office letter no L 4361/VP 43-32-5 & 28 $\frac{12}{32}$

reverting the resols of the Bd
of 30.7.32, and to request you
before the Bd
to place the refce from Gardie
the three shandies referred to above
forward their resols ^{on them} ~~there on~~, before the
end of April 1933.
I have or

Yours
for Gen Secy
MB

maps

Vp 3-32

1800
2

No. C.752/32-33.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 16th October 1933.

FROM

6295

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

17 OCT 1933

GEN. SECRETARY
2.1 OCT. 1933
L. B. A. BRAHMA

To

H.V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARY
17 OCT. 1933
No. L.S. 5

SIR/12

I have the honour to invite reference to the marginally

6644. 1704, 1
- x
-
- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Letter No. C.752/32-33 dated 5th October 1932.
Varthur Village Panchayet in Bangalore Taluk. | } noted letters of this
office, regarding the
apportionment of Shandy
realisations between the |
| 2. Letter No. C.978/32-33 dated 11th October 1932.
Chikbanavara in Bangalore Taluk. | |
| 3. Letter No. 1336/32-33 dated 19th October 1932.
Bettahalsoor village in Devanhalli Taluk. | |
-

Village Panchayets (noted on the margin) and the District Boards,
and to request to be informed whether any orders have been passed
in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

N. Madhava Rao
Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

Bob

K.P. 43-32-89

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Bangalore, the.....21st. October. 1933.

In all future communications
please quote
No. C.D.B. 60/33-34.
Enc. 2.

FROM

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

TO

H.V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
General Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore.
Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECT. I.
25 OCT. 1933
L & Q. BRANCH.

SIR,

23 OCT. 1933

Subject:—

In forwarding herewith letter No.C.10-25/32-33 dated 1-9-33 together with its enclosure received from the President, District Board, Bangalore regarding the assignment of one-fourth of the net realisations from the Shandies at Varthur, Chickbanavar and Bettahalasur to the local Village Panchayets, I have the honour to state that it is better to give a definite share of the Shandy realisations to the village Panchayets concerned instead of leaving the matter to the discretion of the District Board as resolved by them.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,


DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

SVS.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, DISTRICT
BOARD, BANGALORE DISTRICT.

No. C. 10-75/30-32

Bangalore dated 1st September, 1932.



Mr. Venkatappa,
President,
District Board,
BANGALORE.

The General Secretary to
The Government of Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

Through the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L. 5701/V.P. 42-32-2 dated the 1st March 1932, and subsequent reminder No. L. 9518 dated 20th June 1932 received in this office on 5th July 1932 calling for the resolution of the Board regarding the assignment of one fourth of the net realisations from the shanlies at Varthur, Chikkabanavar and Bettahallasur to the Local Village Panchayats &c., I have the honour to state that the matter was placed before the Board at their meeting held on 28th June 1932 and after full discussion with regard to all the aspects of the question, a resolution was passed to say that information regarding the works that the Village Panchayats referred to in the letter under advertance want to undertake may be obtained, in view of the fact that the Board have, as it is though indirectly, been making contributions now and then, in fact to a greater extent than what it would be by such assignments as one fourth of the net realisations from shanlies, to the Panchayats in the District on requisitions from them towards improvements proposed to be undertaken by them in their areas in the matter of water supply, Road facilities, construction of Village Halls, Opening of Reading Rooms, Establishment of Vaidyasalas &c., and that further, if, as suggested now by Government, one fourth of the net realisations from shanlies is also assigned to all such Panchayats where shanlies are established, in addition to the amount already being spent by the

the Board as stated above the Board's income will enormously suffer, and it would not further be possible for the Board to cope with its ordinary obligatory expenditure.

I would, therefore, venture to suggest for the consideration of Government that the Panchayets with limited income may be advised to approach the District Boards for any substantial help they may require from the Board towards the intended improvements to the Village area in respect of water, road, medical and sanitary facilities, in lieu of such apportionment of one fourth of the net realisations from shandies to the Local Villages Panchayets which would, in a way, be only beneficial to a few Panchayets where shandies are being held, and not generally to all the Panchayets in the District. On the other hand the present suggestion will not only lessen the income of the Board but it will also cripple the administration.

A copy of the resolution of the Board is, however, enclosed herein for reference as required.

On receipt of orders from Government in the matter, necessary information in the light of the resolution of the Board will be obtained from the Panchayets referred to and further needful action taken.

Forwarded with copy

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Venkataswami

President,
District Board.

*Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District*

19/11

*Forwarded with
a covering letter*

10 is letter to Sir

a de facto

UP in the

H

ma letter

dis continue

DOB

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE BANGALORE
DISTRICT BOARD, HELD ON 28TH JULY 1933.

xx

xx

xx

26. Letter No. L. 5701/V. F. 333-33-8 dated 1st March 1933 from the General Secretary to the Government requesting resolution regarding the assignment of $\frac{1}{4}$ of the net realisation from the Varthur, Chikka Kanavar and Bettahalasur shandies to the Local Village Panchayats.

RESOLUTION:- Information regarding the works that they want to take up may be obtained.

Sd/- V. Venkatappa,

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

"C o p y"

V. Venkatappa
P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

M/

119

266

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretary

Dated, Bangalore,

38
23rd November 1933.

No. *L 3740/VP 43-32-10*

25-6

From

The General Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. D.B.60/33-34 dated the 21st October 1933 stating, with reference to the question of the assignment of a portion of the net realisations from the shandy collections of Varthur, Chickbanavar and Bettahalsoor to the local Village Panchayets, that it is better to give a definite share of the realisations to the Panchayets concerned instead of leaving the matter to discretion of the District Board, I am directed to request you to state what proportion you propose to fix to be made over to the Panchayets in the cases referred to above.

An early reply is requested.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Asubhara Rao

for General Secretary to Government.

Psk.

SUBJECT

39

Section V. P

File No. 43-32

Serial No. 10

Diary No. of letter replied to 1858

No. L 3740

To The D.C. - Bolne Dist
Bolne

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 .

Date of issue 25.11.33

Signed by

L. E. Subb. D.

Sir,

With refce to your letter no W.B. 60/33.34 of 21.10.33 stating, with reference to the question of the ~~the~~ assignment of ~~one fourth~~ ^{apportion} of the net realisations from the ~~Shandig~~ ^{Shandig} collections of Varthe Chickbanaivar and Bettahals.

List of enclosures

that it is better
to give a definite
share to the
Panchayats concerned
instead of leaving the
matter to the discretion
of the D.C.,

Copied by

30
27.11

Examined by

to the local V. P's, I am directed to request you to state ^{what} the proportion you propose to fix, in the cases referred to above. An early reply is requested.

Sincerely

Yr
21/11
For Secy. Secy
17.11.33

In all future communications
please quote—
No. **C2.D.B. 50/33-34**
Enc

V.V. 203.2.2.13
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,

BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Bangalore, the 1st March, 1934

FROM

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

2 MAR. 1934

To Secy.

H.V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,

General Secretary,

to the Government of Mysore.

B a n g a l o r e.

SIR.

Subject:—

With reference to your letter No.L.3740/V.B.43-32-10 dated 25th November 1933 re: the assignment of a portion of the net realisations from the Shandy collections of Varthur, Chikbanavar and Bettahalasur to the Local Village Panchayets, I have the honor to state that I am of opinion that 1/3 of the realisations may be made over to the Village Panchayets referred to above as the Village Panchayets have to arrange for sanitation etc.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

SVS.1-3-34.

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section VP

File No. 43-32

Serial No. 14

General and Revenue Secretariat

()

the 193

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 28-7-1934

Read—Lto. no. of 5341-58/VP50-27-32

Signed by M. A. V. Rao

6.2.29 having down that V.P.
within whose jurisdiction should
are held may ~~not~~ be assigned
a share of the Shandy realised
each case being dealt with
on its merits depending on

May this be—

- (i) printed ?
- (ii) treated as a standing order ?
- (iii) published in the Gazette,
English Part ?
- (iv) translated ?
- (v) placed at the disposal of the press ?
- (vi) published in the Gazette,
Kannada Part ?

Order thereon. No. →
the financial condition of the
Dt. and the V.P. concerned

No. C 752/32-33 dt. 5.10.32

C 978/32-33 dt. 11.10.32

C 1336/32-33 dt. 19.10.32

(2) The marginally noted
Communications from the Re. in
Mysore stating that the V.P.
Chikbanavar and Bettahals
V.P. in the Bangalore Dt.
have very limited resources.

2577 (6)

and are badly in need of funds
for carrying out village improvement
works and recommending ~~that~~
~~that~~ ^{that} one-fourth of the net collections
from the Chaudies may be ordered to
be given to the respective V.P.s

3) Correspondence ending with letter no

C. 10-75/32-33 D. 1.9.33 from the Presdt.
D. Bd, Bangalore ^{stating that the Bd is already helping the Panchayats}
^{in various ways viz water supply, road facilities, con-}
^{struction of Panchayat Halls, etc. of Vaidyasala's etc.}

4) Correspondence ending with letter no
C. 2 D. Bd 60/33-34 D. 1.3.34 from the D.C.
Bangalore ^{the assignment of a}
^{further sum of 1/4th of}
^{the shandy proceeds will}
^{cripple the Bd's finances}
^{and that the Bd would}
^{however be glad to consider}
^{applications for grants for village impt.}
^{by V.P.s with limited resources.}
in the matter.

Order no 648-51 D. 28-7-34

On a consideration of all aspects of
the question, Govt are pleased to ^{list of}
Direct, under sec 45(1) of the D. Bd Regs, ^{Enclosure.}
that one-fourth of the net realisations ^{income to the Panchayats}
from the Varthur & Chikbanavar shandies ^{instead of leaving it}
in the Bangalore & Bettahalsoor in ^{to the discretion of the}
Devanahalli & be made over by the D. Bd ^{D. Bd and that he is}
to the respective Village Panchayats. ^{of opinion that 1/3}
^{of the income may}
^{be made over to}
^{the Panchayats}

Examined by

[Signature] Secy to Govt
[Signature] Secy to Bd
[Signature] Secy to Bd

To The Presdt, D. Bd, Bangalore thro the D.C.
the D.C. Bangalore
D. Bd - Bangalore

5 Copies

14/2 ✓

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

Government Order No. L. 5341-58/V.P. 50-27-3, dated 6th February 1929, laying down that Village Panchayets within whose jurisdiction shendies are held may be assigned a share of the shendy realisations, each case being dealt with on its merits depending on the financial condition of the District Board and the Village Panchayet concerned.

2. The marginally noted communications from the Revenue

No.C. 752/32-33, dated 5-10-1932.) Commissioner in Mysore,
" 978/32-33, " 11-10-1932.)
" 1336/32-33, " 19-10-1932. (stating that the Varthur,

Chikbanavar and Bettahalsur Village Panchayets in the Bangalore District have very limited resources and are badly in need of funds for carrying out village improvements works and recommending that one-fourth of the net collections from the -- shendies may be ordered to be given to the respective Village Panchayets.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. C. 10-75/32-33, dated 1st September 1933, from the President. District Board, Bangalore, stating that the Board is already helping the -- Panchayets in various ways, viz., water supply, road facilities, construction of panchayet Halls, establishment of Vaidya Salas and that the assignment of a further sum of one-fourth of the shendy proceeds will cripple the Board's finances and that the Board would however be glad to consider applications for grants for village improvements by Village Panchayets with limited resources.

43

4. Correspondence ending with letter No. C.2.D.B.60/33-34, dated 1st March 1934, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, stating that it is better to give a definite share of the shandy income ~~at~~ to the Panchayets instead of leaving it to the discretion of the District Board and that he is of opinion that one-third of the income may be made over to the Panchayets.

Order No. *L 648-51/VP 43-32-14*

Bangalore, dated the ~~28~~²⁹th July 1934.

On a consideration of all aspects of the question, Government are pleased to direct under Section 45(1) of the District Boards' Regulation that one-fourth of the net realisations from the Varthur and Chikbanavar shandies in the Bangalore Taluk and Bettahalsoor in Devanhalli Taluk be made over by the District Board to the respective Village Panchayets.

A. Venkatesh Rao

For Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

mb

To

The President, District Board, Bangalore through the
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to Government.

VP 42-32-15

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Bangalore, the 7th February 1935.

In all future communications
Please quote
No. C2.4789/32-33.
Enc 2.

Tel. Address.—“DEPCOM”
Post Box No 34.

14143 / 3551
L I

FROM

K.V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

M. VENKATANARANAPPA, ESQ., B.A.,
Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore.
Development Department,
B a n g a l o r e.

SIR,

Subject:—

In forwarding herewith the enclosed letter No.C.R.62/34-35 dated 21-1-1935 from the President, District Board, Bangalore, requesting reconsideration of Government Order No.L.648-51/V.P.43-32-14 dated 28-7-1934 directing that one fourth of the net realisations from the Varthur and Chikkabannavar Shandies in the Bangalore Taluk and Bettahalasur in Devanahalli Taluk be made over to the respective Village Panchayets, I have the honour to state that the Government Order under reference has been passed after careful consideration and there are no grounds for modifying the same. The proposal may therefore be vetoed by Government, and the Comptroller may be requested to credit the amounts due to the Village Panchayets in case the District Board does not give effect to the orders of Government before the end of February 1935.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Kv Anantaraman


DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

SVS.7-2-35.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD

No. CR 62/34-35

Bangalore, 21st January 1935.



ENKATAPPA, Esq.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
BANGALORE.

16005

The Secretary to
The Government of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore, City.

Through:-

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Order No. L. 648-51/V. P. 42-32-14 dated the 28th July 1934 directing under section 45 (i) of the District Board Regulation that $\frac{1}{4}$ of the net realisations from the Varthur and Chikkabanavar shandies in the Bangalore Taluk and Bettahalsur in Devanahalli Taluk be made over by the District Board to the respective Village Panchayets &c., I have the honour to state that the Standing Committee of the Board have, while recording at their meeting held on 22nd September 1934, the Government Order in question, resolved that, if effect is given to the Government Order, the District Board will be deprived of an income of about Rs. 10,000/- ultimately and thus its resources will be further decreased in addition to its present financial condition. They are further of opinion that the Board will be forced by this arrangement to discontinue many of the concessions that are being at present given by the Board to the several Village Panchayets such as the establishment of Vaidyasalas, contributions to Village halls, wells &c. The Board have also at their meeting held on 8th December 1934 approved of the resolution of the Standing Committee in the matter.

Copies of the resolutions of the Standing Committee and of the Board in this respect are herewith appended for the

(2)

the information of Government.

I would, under the circumstances, request you to be so good as to place, before Government, the present resolution of the Board in the matter once again for their reconsideration and early orders.

I have the honour to be,
S. i r,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Venkataappa

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

M/2/1

forwarded with acknowledgment

*Deputy Commissioner-
Bangalore District*

STANDING COMMITTEE'S RESOLUTION DATED THE 22nd SEPTEMBER 1934.

11. Government Order No. L. 648-51/VP. 43-32-14 dated 28th July 1934 directing under section 45 (i) of the District Board Regulation that one fourth of the net realisations from the Varthur and Chickka-banavar shandies in the Bangalore Taluk and Bettahalsur in Devanahalli Taluk be made over by the Board to the respective Village Panchayets.

RESOLUTION:- Recorded. If effect is given to the Government Order, the District Board will be deprived of an income of about Rs. 10,000/- ultimately and thus its resources will be further decreased in addition to the present financial condition of the Board. Hence the Board will be forced to discontinue many of the concessions that are being given to the several Village Panchayets such as the establishment of Vaidyasalas, contributions to Village Halls, Wells &c.

DISTRICT BOARD RESOLUTION DATED 8th DECEMBER 1934:- The resolution of the Standing Committee is approved.

Sd/- V. Venkatappa,

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

"Copy"

V. Venkatappa
P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

M/11

SUBJECT

47

Section. V.P.
File No. 43-32
Serial No. 16

No. 26464

Diary No. of letter replied to

To

The President St. Board.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

()
the 193

Date of issue

20/3/35

Signed by

M. A. S. S.

Bangalore.
The D. C. Bangalore
Sir.

The
Adverting to Correspondence
with letter No. C.R. 62/34-35 dated
21/3/35 requesting a reconsideration

of the order re the appropriation of
the net realisations of Vartur &
Chickbawar shawis in B'lon Pq
and B'lon shawis in Devanahalli Pq

to the respective village Panchayats,

I am directed to ~~request~~ state

that Govt see no reason to reconsider

the orders already passed.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

and that, if the order is not given
effect to by making the necessary
payment due to the V.Ps in question

before the end of April 1935, Govt will be
^{constrained} have to take action to enforce the
payment under section 96(3) of the Mysore
District Board's Regulation.

I am ^{accordingly} therefore to request you
to kindly arrange ^{for the} payment of the
amounts due to the V.Ps concerned
at an early date and ^{report} intimate the fact
of having done so to Govt.
I have etc

Enclosure
Am 8/3
For Secy Secy

~~Copies of the above is forwarded with~~

~~Copies to the Secy Secy.~~

~~Memorandum L 6465 of 20/3/35~~

~~Copy to the Secy Secy and w/c~~
~~for info.~~

B.O.
Am 15/3
For Secy Secy
Am 2/2

Copied by
M. S. S. S.
20/3/35

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 18th March 1935

No. 26464

VP 43-32-16

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department.

To

The President, District Board, Bangalore,
(through the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District).

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No.C.R.62/34-35 dated 21st January 1935 requesting a reconsideration of the order regarding the apportionment of the net realisations of Varthur and Chickbanavar shandis in Bangalore Taluk and Bettahalasur in Devanahalli Taluk to the respective Village Panchayets, I am directed to state that Government see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed and that, if the order is not given effect to by making the necessary payment due to the Village Panchayets in question before the end of April 1935, Government will be constrained to take action to enforce the payment under Section 96(3) of the Mysore District Boards Regulation.

I am accordingly to request you to kindly arrange for the payment of the amounts due to the Village Panchayets concerned at an early date and report the fact of having done so to Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd. M.Venkatanaranappa.

Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

Memo No. 26465

Dated Bangalore, the 18th March 1935.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments

1981

to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore for information.

By order,

Dr

Ar

Department
of Mysore

Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 18th March 1935

No.

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department.

To

The President, District Board, Bangalore,
(through the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District).

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No.C.R.62/34-35 dated 21st January 1935 requesting a reconsideration of the order regarding the apportionment of the net realisations of Varthur and Chickbanavar shandis in Bangalore Taluk and Bettahalasur in Devanahalli Taluk to the respective Village Panchayets, I am directed to state that Government see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed and that, if the order is not given effect to by making the necessary payment due to the Village Panchayets in question before the end of April 1935, Government will be constrained to take action to enforce the payment under Section 96(3) of the Mysore District Boards Regulation.

I am accordingly to request you to kindly arrange for the payment of the amounts due to the Village Panchayets concerned at an early date and report the fact of having done so to Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sd. M.Venkatanaranappa.

Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

Memo No.

Dated Bangalore, the 18th March 1935.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments

P.T.O.

to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore for information.

By order,

Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

35
2/7/85
15/5

VP 43-32-17

50


OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD.

No. CR 62/34-35

From

V. VENKATAPPA, Esq.
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD

To



4989/L
2
43
11

The Secretary to
The Government of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore, City.

Through:-

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore district.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. L. 848/V. P. 12-32-12 dated 20th March 1935 stating that the Government see no reason to reconsider the orders already passed regarding the apportionment of the net realisations of Varthur and Chikkabanavar shandies in the Bangalore Taluk and Bettahalsur in Devanahalli Taluk and further directing that if the order is not given effect to before the end of April 1935, Government will be constrained to take action to enforce the payment under section 96 (3) of the Mysore District Board Regulation &c., I have the honour to bring to your notice that the order of Government sanctioning the apportionment of the net realisations in question is dated 28th July 1934 and as such it has to have its effect on the net realisations of each of these shandies for the current year which can be only ascertained after the close of the current official year, and as such it has not been possible to make payment before the end of April 1935 as directed in the letter under advertance.

Due provision has however been made for the purpose in the budget estimates of the ensuing year which has been passed by the Board yesterday and arrangements will be made to pay up the amounts due to these Panchayets early next year on ascertaining the net realisations from each of these shandies

shandies at the close of the current year.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Venkataswami
P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

12/1/5

C2-6789/52-33.

Forwarded with compliments.

P. Anandalakshmi
Secretary
175705-

1-50
24/9/35
10/9/35
17/9
12/9
In all future communications
please quote
No. C. 2-815/35-36
Enc. Five.
Tel. Address.—"DEPCOM"
Post Box No. 34.

1204
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Bangalore, the 10th September 1935

FROM

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

TO

M. Venkatanaranappa, Esq., B.A.,
Development Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:—

I have the honour to forward herewith the letter No. C.R. 62/33-34 dated the 2nd August 1935 addressed to you by the President, District Board, Bangalore in regard to the apportionment of realisations of Varthur, Chickbanavar and Bettahalasur shandies to the Village Panchayats concerned. I may, in this connection invite ~~the attention of Government~~ ^{reference to} the objectionable tone of the resolution and to state that the District Board is not in order, either, in not giving effect to the orders of Government, passed after careful consideration in respect of these three shandies. I request therefore that the Comptroller may be instructed to verify the quota of the realisations due to the above Village Panchayats and to credit the same to the Village Panchayats concerned by debiting the amount to the District Board.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

SRD/10-9-35.

52
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD.

No. C. R. 62/33-34.

Bangalore, 2nd August 1935.

From

V. VENKATAPPA, Esq.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to
The Government of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore, City

Through:-

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No. C. R. 62/34-35 dated the 4th May 1935 in regard to the apportionment of realizations of Varthur, Chickbanavar and Bettahalsur shandies to the concerned Village Panchayets, I have the honour to state that the Board in their meeting held on 13th July 1935 have resolved as follows


"Resolved with the observation that the Board do not agree with the views of the Government"

I have sent a detailed report with this office letter No. C. R. 1298/34-35 dated ^{3rd August} 16th July 1935 regarding the inadvisability of apportioning the shandy realisations to the Village Panchayets. However, I am enclosing a copy of the same to you for ready reference.

I request you to kindly place both the files before the Government for their reconsideration of the orders already passed in view of the arguments fully described therein. Till final orders on the general subject are passed by Government; I would suggest that the order passed in the case of the above 3 shandies may kindly be ordered to be held in abeyance.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Venkatappa
President,
District Board.



Reper

6/8/35

No. C. R. 1298/34-35

Bangalore, 2nd August 1935

From

V. VENKATAPPA, Esq.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to
The Government of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore, City.

Through:-

=====

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

SIR,

Subject:- Apportionment of shandy Realizations to the
Village Panchayets in the Bangalore Dist.

In continuation of this office D. O. No. 1298 dated 31st May 1935 and with reference to your letter No. L. 6360 / V. P. 43-32-12 dated the 16/19th February 1934 on the above subject, I have the honour to state as follows:-

Shandies help the trade of the localities and create facilities to the producers and to the consumers by helping to dispose of the commodities of the former as well as bringing to the doors of the latter the articles wanted by them for their daily use. Though they help the general public in such a way yet they have their own drawbacks. By congregation of men there will be a source of spreading infectious diseases from one person to the other. There may be trouble to the water source nearby being contaminated by the use of the same by a sickly person that visits the shandy. To overcome these drawbacks, preventive measures will have to be taken which means spending of money. Where should the money come from to be spent over this purpose? Government devised a means and decided that it should be got at the place alone by a small taxation on the public who would be benefitted by the shandy and this tax is perhaps called "Market Fees" levied in sh/

leave that the taxation was adopted just for a purpose described above and not for hindering trade or to give either to Government or to Local bodies like District Board Village Panchayet. I may also add that the contagion got shandy may not stop at the place alone, but would spread through many villages and preventive measures will have to be taken also.

Under the District Board Regulation of 1926, the measures necessary for the Public Health, Sanitation etc., in rural parts, is an obligatory duty imposed on the District Board. Suppose there is an outbreak of Cholera or Plague, or Small-pox in a Village. The Village Panchayet does not take any action to prevent it nor will it be financially capable of doing it. It is the District Board that goes to the help of the Village Panchayet. The Vaccinators' pay and the cost of the lymph is borne by the Board. The cost of the inoculation bulbs of Cholera, and the cost of the disinfectants are paid by the Board and not by the concerned Panchayets. So, the Board is only spending its income towards the well-being of its sister Local Bodies i. e. Village Panchayets. It is only when the finances of the central body is sound, the Villages can get the maximum help and on the other hand if the income of the District Board is crippled by reducing its income by apportioning the same to certain particular Panchayets, the help that it can bestow on villages in general will naturally be reduced. Thereby many deserving villages may not get the help they need in times of danger and epidemics.

Now coming to the question of apportionment of shandy collections to the ranchayets, I write to state that by such an apportionment only the ranchayets in whose area the shandy is held will be benefitted at the cost of the surrounding villages and it is a matter for consideration whether such a Village Panchayet has any right for such a preferential treatment. The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District in his letter No. C2-478

dated 16th November 1933 to the Revenue Commissioner has reported that as the Village Panchayets are put to additional expenditure by the holding of a shandy within their jurisdiction to make Sanitary and other arrangements, it is but right that a share of the shandy realizations is given to them. In this connection I may point out that none of the Village Panchayets where shandies are held has made any sanitary arrangement for the same nor will they be able to do the same with their slender resources. I may add that no sweepers are entertained in many of the Village Panchayets to keep the Village and Shandy Maidan clean leaving alone other things. Besides, by the conditions of the lease, the shandy Contractor is bound to keep the area clean and tidy and this is being insisted upon scrupulously.

Coming to the second point as to how far the Village where the shandy is held helps to the collection of market fees to claim preferential treatment, I write to state that it is the people of the surrounding villages that come and buy the commodities. It is they that swell the purse of the merchants of the village where shandy is held, who in turn give the tax and so the money paid by them must go to the improvement of their conditions rather than to the exchequer of the Panchayet which has done nothing and contributed the minimum.

The Government when passing orders to apportion certain percentage of shandy realisations to Village Panchayets (L. 5241-50' V. P. 50-27-2 dated the 6th February 1935) has perhaps done so only by looking to the poor finances of the Village Panchayets but they must also consider the other side of the question as to how far the apportionment will curtail the resources of the District Board and thereby restricts the help that can be given to the poor Villages which are in dire necessity of a drinking water well, a good road, a school for their children &c. Only because a Village is fortunate in having within its area the shandy maidan, it cannot be given a lion's share of the money at the cost of and to the prejudice of the surrounding villages which have contributed the major portion of the money.

The District Board is discharging its obligatory duties to

to the entire satisfaction of the public in general. In addition to the obligatory duties of attending to sanitation and health of the rural parts it has for the last five years contributed and undertaken the works of Public utility as noted in the list enclosed.

The shandy realizations in this District are only Rs. 26,82 and if $\frac{1}{4}$ of the realization is given away, the amount at the disposal of the Board will be considerably reduced and the Board will be put to the painful necessity of giving a negative reply to all the - deserving representations of villageks for help. I hope, Government will not allow matters to drift like that.

The District Board before which the subject was brought on the 12th July 1935 have resolved that it is not possible to give any share of the shandy realisations to the Village Panchayets - copy of of which is enclosed for information.

In the above circumstances, I request you to kindly place the above facts before Government and obtain their orders to have the entire shandy realizations at the credit of the Board to be utilised for the well-being of the villages.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,
Sd/- V. Venkatappa,
P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

"C o p y"

V. Venkatappa
P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

LIST SHOWING THE AMOUNT SPENT BY THE DISTRICT BOARD FOR THE YEARS
1930-31 TO 1934-35.

Y e a r.	Amount spent on Road and other Public works.	Amount spent on Drinking Water Wells.	53 Remarks.
1930-31.	42,016	4,320	
1931-32.	29,608	10,088	
1932-33.	21,148	11,305	
1933-34.	35,934	9,828	
1934-35.	30,760	8,202	

Besides the Board has agreed to pay contribution to the Water Works
Scheme of Satnur and Kakol through Bore-wells.

Bangalore City,

2nd August 1935.)

V. Vamsatappa
P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ORDINARY MEETING OF THE DISTRICT BOARD
BANGALORE, HELD ON SATURDAY THE 13th JULY 1935. 56

xx

xx

xx

9. Letter No. L. 6360/V. P. 43-32-12 dated 19th February 1934 from the General Secretary to Government calling for a resolution of the Board regarding the apportionment of the income from the shandies in the District between the Board and the Village Panchayats concerned.

RESOLUTION OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE:- Wait till the reply is received for the previous board resolution.

RESOLUTION:- Not possible.

xx

xx

xx

Sd/- V. Venkatappa,

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

"C o p y"

V. Venkatappa

P r e s i d e n t,
District Board.

SUBJECT

57

Section VP

File No. 43-32

Serial No. 20

No. 22609

Diary No. of letter replied to

To

The Comptroller to Govt

Sir,

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193 .

Date of issue ... 14-10-35

Signed by *Secy ASR*

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

I am directed to state that the D.P. have not paid the amount due in spite of definite instructions from Govt

I am directed to state
In your
in vithayana hand *refce to G.O.*
No L 648-SI / V.P. 43-32-14
dated 28.7.34 directing that under
Section 45(1) of the St Rds Regulation
that $\frac{1}{4}$ of the net realisations from
the Varkur and Ghikbanavar
Shandies in the Bangalore taluk
and Beltahalsoor in Davanahalli
taluk, be made over by the St
Rd to the respective V. PS

and to request you to verify the
quota of the realisations due

to the ^{said} ~~above~~ V.P.s and to credit
the same to ^{the} Purchase's Concerned..

by debiting the amount to the
Dr. Bd., ~~the~~ A report of the action taken maybe
~~the~~ fact of having done
so being ^{sent} reported to Govt for information

I have Ok

^{11/11}
 29/8 Dev Secy
 14 my
 2610-12 9/14-10-35

Kopie ~~de~~ versio 15

- 1) D.C. Bangalore St with
ref^a to his letter no G2-815/35-36 dated 10-9-35

2) Rev Court in Mysore -

3) President, St B, B' line Dr
(Thro' the DC Bangalore Dr) with refce
--- This letter no - CR-62/33-34 - D-2-8 35
Bo

Am 29/8
My
Sey to En.
Dw sep

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE. 58

No. 22609
Vp 43-32-20

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated, Bangalore, 2nd October 1935

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Development Department.


To

The Comptroller to Government,
Bangalore.

SIR,

In inviting your reference to Government Order No. J. 648-51/V.P. 43-32-14 dated 28th July 1934 directing under Section 45(1) of the District Boards Regulation, that 1/4th of the net realisations from the Varthur and Chikbanavar Shandies in the Bangalore Taluk and Bettahalsoor in Devanahalli Taluk, be made over by the District Board to the respective Village Panchayets, I am directed to state that the District Board have not paid the amount due in spite of definite instructions from Government and to request you therefore to verify the quota of the realisations due to the said Village Panchayets and credit the same to the Panchayets concerned by debiting the amount to the District Board. A report of action taken may be sent to Government for information.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,


Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

VP 43-32-22

1950

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
BANGALORE DISTRICT BOARD

Bangalore, 25th October 1935.

No. C2 6234-35

From


V. VENKATAPPA, Esq.,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to
the Government of Mysore,
Development Department,
Bangalore, City.
Through:-
SIR,
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

GEN. & REV. SECY.
1 NOV. 1935
BANGALORE.

Adverting to your Memo No. L. 2610-12 dated 14th October 1935 forwarding copy of your letter No. L. 2609/V. P. 43-32 of even date to the Comptroller regarding the apportionment of shandy realisations of Varthur, Chickabanavar and Bettahalsur shandies to the respective Village Panchayets for the year 1934-35 with effect from 1st August 1934, I have the honour to state that cheques have been issued this day for the amounts due to the Village Panchayets in question from the Board and to request that the Comptroller may be asked to stop further proceedings in the matter.



C2-815/35-36
Memo

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,
V. Venkatappa
President,
District Board.

Forwarded with Compliments
1/2 Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore

3457
21/10

141
11/11/35
1204
1323-10

SUBJECT

60

Section VP

File No. 47-32

Serial No. 23

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. L. 3689

To The Comptroller

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Date of issue 15.11.35

Signed by Mr. A.S.R.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Sir,

I am directed to ^{write} refer to this office letter No. L. 2609 dated 14-10-35 requesting ^{you} to credit $\frac{1}{4}$ of the net realisations from the Vanthur and Chikkabananur Shandies in the Bangalore taluk and Bellahalsur in Devanahalli taluk to the respective V.P.s by debiting the amount to the funds of the ~~Bang~~ Dt Bd, Bangalore Dt, and to state that the Pdt. District Board Bangalore ^{has since intimated} ~~informs~~ in his

letter no. G.R. 62/34-35 dated 25-10-35,

that ~~the~~ cheques have been issued for
the amount due to the V. Ps in question

from the Board, for the yr 34-35, with effect from 1-8-34

I ^{therefore} am to request you to not

to take action, as directed in this

~~Officer~~ letter No. X-2609 dated K. Lasso under advt. for.

I have Eke

W

for les Dev Secy --
12/11

~~69~~
Memo No. 2 3690

Copy is with Compts to the

Pdlt, Dt Bd, Bangalore (thru the
D.C. Bangalore) with ref to his

letter no. 8 G.R. 62/34-35 dated

25-10-35. Joy to the C. C. Home D.M. - 2. 5691

20

Mr.

Dev Seny
leg